

http://?????????????????.onion

LOOSE

MAGAZINE

Volume 1 ~oo~ Issue 10 ~oo~ November 2015

ALSO: RISE ABOVE (HATE) -- LOST ART OF WRITING -- YOU'LL NEVER GET RID OF MONEY

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
/	/
It's a table! Get it?	

ACQUIRING RELAY.....	3 YET AGAIN
DEEP WEB SITES OF THE DAY.....	4
OnionUp?, Sigaint, & Galaxy 2	
ARTICLE.....	5
Biggest Global Warming Shakeup Since the ClimateGate Emails	
BLURBS: Non-Sequiturs.....	8
ARTICLE.....	9
Libertorian Intellectual Honesty Test	
FEATURE.....	10
You Will Never Get Rid of Money!	
ARTICLE.....	12
Repeal and Do Nothing!!!!!!!!!!!!	
FEATURE.....	13
Rise Above	
BLURB.....	17
Thank You!!!	
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.....	17
OF THE DAY The Second Amendment	
FEATURE: RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART..	18
Cursive & Letter-Writing	
ARTICLE.....	24
Be What You Are Without Sucking @ It	
COVER STORY.....	25
A La Carte, Parte 2	
CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAT.....	28
captaina.hac	
QUESTION CORNER.....	31

~~ Libertorian ~~	
{	-- Volume 1 --- Issue 10 --
{	November, 2015
{	
{	A Publication of Synonymous 1.
{	Published October, 2015.
{	
{	--
{	
{	Libertorian is published on an
{	irregular basis.
{	
{	N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome,
{	actually encouraged, to copy and
{	distribute this text by any means
{	possible, preferably by photocopy-
{	ing.
{	
{	
{	LIBERTORIAN IS SEEKING
{	A DEEP WEB SITE
{	
{	(Notice to an Onionland users:
{	The views expressed in Libertorian
{	aren't necessarily those of the
{	webmaster. That's fine with us
{	libertarians.)
{	
{	
{	CORRESPONDENCE:
{	synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com
{	
{	Send submission & letters to
{	Libertorian at the above address.
{	
{	
{	DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN:
{	12yfpakCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s
{	Even a millibitcoin!
{	(.001 BTC)
{	
{	
{	DON'T HURT PEOPLE
{	AND DON'T TAKE THEIR STUFF.
{	

D.N.S. LOOKUP FAILED.....32

~~~~~  
Please contact Libertorian if you can host a Libertorian mirror.  
synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com -- libertorian@sigaint.org  
~~~~~

~~~~~

A C Q U I R I N G       R E L A Y

> } - by Synonymous 1 - { <

~~~~~

Occasionally the question comes up: WHY LiberTORian? Well, why not? It is a void that needed to be filled. Nature abhors a vacuum, and SOMEONE would be making something similar.

The deep web has all kinds of users, and the upper wing would eventually be on the scene. I mean, it's there already in some of the common ideas expressed by people: limited government intrusion, distrust of statism, privacy rights, aggressive respect for free speech and expression, unrestrained innovating, freedom of commerce (of items banned by the state): all of these coincide with libertarian principles. It would only have been a matter of time until top wingers would create a place to call their own.

And LiberTORian isn't treading new ground in this respect. It's just the latest iteration of it. Right here in the pages of LiberTORian, we've chronicled other, more well established sites of interest to libertarians: Alpha 7 Bravo and We Fight Censorship are only two of several. But LiberTORian is built to be a place where Constitution-minded libertarians could feel at home and welcome. The few other writers and I come from a Constitutional Conservative viewpoint, so most of the material comes from such a vantage point. But we want this periodical to be an exchange of ideas for the entire spectrum of libertarianism. The only point of view we really don't welcome into these pages is a statist one. But no big loss for statist; there are tons of Deep Web sites for them. And we welcome statist to read our publication. We have no problem with them reading, or speaking out elsewhere on their views. But LiberTORian is something different.

So, how are we doing? Do you like, hate, couldn't care less about this? We'd like to hear from you. Contact us with your thoughts & comments at:

[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

Do you like the retro look? If you do, I wonder if you like them because you grew up in the days of BBS communication, where this type of file often was a carrier of information. Or maybe you are young and like how someone is taking this kind of approach in this day and age. Or you could be a minimalist, who likes the simplicity of plain .txt, which seems to go hand in hand with the deep web, where we shut off Java Script and avoid flash video and, in general, keep it simple and safe. That's something else specifically that we want to know from YOU.

And you are to thank. LiberTORian is for your enjoyment, enlightenment and concurrence (unless you disagree with some/most/all of it). Unless you're a statist, or don't advocate hurting others and/or taking their stuff, this is also a forum for your voice. We get letters and comments from the Dear Readers, but very few articles. One thing I'd like to see more of in LiberTORian is your input to shape the magazine. I mean, do you really want to read only what a few of my peers and I have to say? Wouldn't you like your words to be read in 30 or so years by some wide eyed youth, who found an archive of vintage eZines from the 2010s compiled by some electronic communications historian? well, here is your chance!

So, enjoy issue 10. Then send us your thoughts! And remember: don't hurt others and don't take their stuff! (L)



~~~~~

BIGGEST GLOBAL WARMING SHAKEUP  
SINCE THE CLIMATEGATE EMAILS

~~~~~

Dear Curt:

When I first joined the American Physical Society sixty-seven years ago it was much smaller, much gentler, and as yet uncorrupted by the money flood (a threat against which Dwight Eisenhower warned a half-century ago). Indeed, the choice of physics as a profession was then a guarantor of a life of poverty and abstinence—it was World War II that changed all that. The prospect of worldly gain drove few physicists. As recently as thirty-five years ago, when I chaired the first APS study of a contentious social/scientific issue, The Reactor Safety Study, though there were zealots aplenty on the outside there was no hint of inordinate pressure on us as physicists. We were therefore able to produce what I believe was and is an honest appraisal of the situation at that time. We were further enabled by the presence of an oversight committee consisting of Pief Panofsky, Vicki Weisskopf, and Hans Bethe, all towering physicists beyond reproach. I was proud of what we did in a charged atmosphere. In the end the oversight committee, in its report to the APS President, noted the complete independence in which we did the job, and predicted that the report would be attacked from both sides. What greater tribute could there be?

How different it is now. The giants no longer walk the earth, and the money flood has become the *raison d'être* of much physics research, the vital sustenance of much more, and it provides the support for untold numbers of professional jobs. For reasons that will soon become clear my former pride at being an APS Fellow all these years has been turned into shame, and I am forced, with no

pleasure at all, to offer you my resignation from the Society. It is of course, the global warming scam, with the (literally) trillions of dollars driving it, that has corrupted so many scientists, and has carried APS before it like a rogue wave. It is the greatest and most successful pseudoscientific fraud I have seen in my long life as a physicist. Anyone who has the faintest doubt that this is so should force himself to read the ClimateGate documents, which lay it bare. (Montford's book organizes the facts very well.) I don't believe that any real physicist, nay scientist, can read that stuff without revulsion. I would almost make that revulsion a definition of the word scientist. So what has the APS, as an organization, done in the face of this challenge? It has accepted the corruption as the norm, and gone along with it. For example:

1. About a year ago a few of us sent an e-mail on the subject to a fraction of the membership. APS ignored the issues, but the then President immediately launched a hostile investigation of where we got the e-mail addresses. In its better days APS used to encourage discussion of important issues, and indeed the Constitution cites that as its principal purpose. No more. Everything that has been done in the last year has been designed to silence debate

2. The appallingly tendentious APS statement on Climate Change was apparently written in a hurry by a few people over lunch, and is certainly not representative of the talents of APS members as I have long known them. So a few of us petitioned the Council to reconsider it. One of the outstanding marks of (in)distinction in the Statement was the poison word incontrovertible, which describes few items in physics certainly not this one. In response APS appointed a secret committee that never met, never troubled to speak to any skeptics, yet endorsed



the Statement in its entirety. (They did admit that the tone was a bit strong, but amazingly kept the poison word incontrovertible to describe the evidence, a position supported by no one.) In the end, the Council kept the original statement, word for word, but approved a far longer "explanatory" screed, admitting that there were uncertainties, but brushing them aside to give blanket approval to the original. The original Statement, which still stands as the APS position, also contains what I consider pompous and asinine advice to all world governments, as if the APS were master of the universe. It is not, and I am embarrassed that our leaders seem to think it is. This is not fun and games, these are serious matters involving vast fractions of our national substance, and the reputation of the Society as a scientific society is at stake.

3. In the interim the ClimateGate scandal broke into the news, and the machinations of the principal alarmists were revealed to the world. It was a fraud on a scale I have never seen, and I lack the words to describe its enormity. Effect on the APS position: none. None at all. This is not science; other forces are at work.

4. So a few of us tried to bring science into the act (that is, after all, the alleged and historic purpose of APS), and collected the necessary 200+ signatures to bring to the Council a proposal for a Topical Group on Climate Science, thinking that open discussion of the scientific issues, in the best tradition of physics, would be beneficial to all, and also a contribution to the nation. I might note that it was not easy to collect the signatures, since you denied us the use of the APS membership list. We conformed in every way with the requirements of the APS Constitution, and described in great detail what we had in mind—simply to bring the subject into the open.

5. To our amazement, Constitution be damned, you declined to accept our petition, but instead used your own control of the mailing list to run a poll on the members' interest in a TG on Climate and the Environment. You did ask the members if they would sign a petition to form a TG on your yet-to-be-defined subject, but provided no petition, and got lots of affirmative responses. (If you had asked about sex you would have gotten more expressions of interest.) There was of course no such petition or proposal, and you have now dropped the Environment part, so the whole matter is moot. (Any lawyer will tell you that you cannot collect signatures on a vague petition, and then fill in whatever you like.) The entire purpose of this exercise was to avoid your constitutional responsibility to take our petition to the Council.

6. As of now you have formed still another secret and stacked committee to organize your own TG, simply ignoring our lawful petition.

APS management has gamed the problem from the beginning, to suppress serious conversation about the merits of the climate change claims. Do you wonder that I have lost confidence in the organization?

I do feel the need to add one note, and this is conjecture, since it is always risky to discuss other people's motives. This scheming at APS HQ is so bizarre that there cannot be a simple explanation for it. Some have held that the physicists of today are not as smart as they used to be, but I don't think that is an issue. I think it's the money, exactly what Eisenhower warned about a half-century ago. There are indeed trillions of dollars involved, to say nothing of the fame and glory (and frequent trips to exotic islands) that go with being a member of the club. Your own Physics Department (of which you are chairman) would lose millions a year if the global warming bubble burst. When

Penn State absolved Mike Mann\* of wrongdoing, and the University of East Anglia did the same for Phil Jones, they cannot have been unaware of the financial penalty for doing otherwise. As the old saying goes, you don't have to be a weatherman to know which way the wind is blowing. Since I am no philosopher, I'm not going to explore at just which point enlightened self-interest crosses the line into corruption, but a careful reading of the ClimateGate releases makes it clear that this is not an academic question.

I want no part of it, so please accept my resignation. APS no longer represents me, but I hope we are still friends.

Hal

-----  
This is a reprint of the resignation Letter from Harold Lewis to Gurtis G Callan Jr of Princeton, President of the American Physical Society.

~~~~~

N O N - S E Q U I T I R S

~~~~~

\*Playboy\* magazine announced 13 Oct that it will no longer depict nude women in its magazine or web site. So, now we'll see how many people \*actually\* read it for the articles!

Planned Predators' president Cecilia Richards announced that they will no longer sell the body parts of babies they murder on the black market. So can we take it as 1) an admission of guilt? and 2) an admission that you lied about it?

I'd be on cloud nine if I was a republican candidate for president who just watched the first democrat debate on CNN.

That's diversity! Dem candidates: white people with average age of 65!

\* Michael Mann is the architect of the ClimateGate swindle. His false statistics were given to Al Gore for his propaganda film, "An Inconvenient Truth".

-----  
"HAL" is Harold Lewis, Emiritus Professor of physics AT UC Santa Barbara. His physics credentials are numerous and of the highest honor:

- o Former member Defense Science board, chmn of Technology panel
- o Chmn DSB study on Nuclear Winter
- o Former member Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards
- o Former member, President's Nuclear Safety Oversight Committee
- o Chairman APS study on Nuclear Reactor Safety
- o Chmn Risk Assessment Review Group
- o Co-founder and former Chairman of JASON
- o Former member USAF Scientific Advisory Board
- o Served in US Navy in WW II

((L))

HOW TO MAKE A LIBERTORIAN HARD COPY:

---

- 1 Open a word processor
- 2 Set page size to 8 1/2 x 11
- 3 Set orientation to Letter
- 4 Set all 4 margins to .75 inches
- 5 Cut an issue of LiberTORian from text editor and paste into your word processor
- 6 Highlight all text
- 7 Make font Courier New. Set font to 10.5 point and bold
- 8 Eliminate -PAGE #- and the spaces above and below so that the pages are lined up properly
- 9 Print (use both sides of the page)
- 10 Destroy the original files! (optional)

((L))



~~~~~

THE LIBERTORIAN INTELLECTUAL HONESTY  
--- TEST

~~~~~

Okay, for the last decade, my tolerance for dumb has decreased. I'm not suggesting curbing free speech, but I do want to shut certain people from \*MY\* ears by now. You've probably encountered the type. They are liberal, conservative, statist, and libertarian, as well as moderate. You'll find a lot more of them in statist and liberal ranks, but they are throughout the spectrum. They are known as the ENTRENCHED IDIOLOGUES. Actually, they aren't really known as that, but I'm coining the term now. These are people so devoted to a party that they abandon all thought, defending their side to extreme ends, and attacking all of their opponents, now matter what it is they do.

For instance, I know this joker who trolls the local news forum and attacks anyone who criticizes Obama, no matter how valid the criticism. He contorted himself into some very strange arguments, even exclaiming his joy that his fellow Obama voters are suffering under Obamacare, just because the critic said how wrong it is for them to suffer, and he had to be contrary.

How does this type of logic, if it could be called that, convince anyone to the other side? Are other Obama voters ashamed that this is their side's defense? Is it a surprise that even free speech proponents want to say, "shut up already!"

Remember Cindy Sheehan and how she vocally and adamantly expressed her hatred for the war in Iraq? She made a big fuss and cited President W. Bush's name a lot. And I stand up for her right to protest. But she, and the whole anti-war move-

- By Synonymous 2 -

went silent the moment Obama crossed his fingers and swore to uphold the U.S. Constitution. Curious.

The ACLU took W. Bush to the Supreme Court to end the so-called "Patriot Act" provision that allows warrantless wiretaps on international calls between Americans and known terrorists overseas. The court overturned the provision and Bush, to his credit, actually said, "the court says it's unconstitutional, so I will comply." Obama's violations, without even laws passed by congress to back them up, have been far more illegal and imposing on freedom, but the ACLU does very little these days for some reason.

This the genesis of the LibertORian Intellectual Honesty Test. The test is very easy to take:

| When a politician does something  
| that you don't have a problem with  
| him or her doing, and that person  
| is politically aligned with your  
| views, ask yourself, "Would I be  
| just as fine with it if the person  
| doing this held political views  
| that were diametrically opposed to  
| mine?"  
|  
| If the answer is yes, you \*ARE\*  
| intellectually honest.  
|  
| If the answer is no, you \*AREN'T\*  
| intellectually honest. You are an  
| ideologue!

Gee, that was simple. So the next time you complain that the right wants to cut Medicare (fine with me) and then when someone on the LEFT (Obama) becomes the 1st to ACTUALLY DO IT and you are silent, YOU LOSE!

Be intellectually honest. ((L))

```
ONKO000kOxkOkxxoc;'. F E D E R A L   R E S E R V E   N O T E ,okKOkdxlxo;dl:,...
X00kOOONX01 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA odxOdxdcc;
Nk0O xXOklc dcllo:d:c'l;o,o:l;ll.cocd:dxlocco;lllx;'o;clic:dlolc,c,.lk;lko lc:.
xkXx :0xXx'. ..... .ckxlx ::'
oONd xXOd0':. ....'.... . :xdOxll:coOkkxc' F 12345678 A .lOdx.'l:;
OKK0k0X: . ,Ok,. .kNO;. ...ldkoo:c.
M0OdOo. 6 ,lxkOx; 'XXl,,,'...'om0' ... .6 lkllo:.
Kk0o: ,. c0:'::,0c .OW0oc,:,...';kMx. :.lxll,. .','cc;;
OKx; :K;:.lXc :MMWNNkOx... 'KXWX. .' ;o.:;cl ',kdko
X0o. :lodl, :MWNNWok0o;' ;0XXXX. ..d;'cocl .c0KKM
0kK, . F 12345678 A 'WNXNWNlxko.0KKXNO. .cxlco'. .KXWX
XKk:'. . . ,kWNWNXO.. '0dxKNc; ;xKWN
kkd;xdc ;6 '. ...'.. .. :ddOMMMMM0,...OKNlOl' ... . 6' oo,okkX
dOk.xld '....'ccldooKK0KKdc,,:kedloc:. . . ;o.oKk0
Kdodl:dl:clcool::olcod0; } ONE DOLLAR { ;kx;;;','',,,,','',:,'dclxc0
:c,.,odl:llcool;co:cxdkcdxk0oOdxx0dxd0okOdxdodokodxdckoko:l0lckxxxOdxocD0x..'OX
```

{ BY SYNONYMOUS 1 }

Say that two people live on a tiny deserted island, and because this is allegorical, nothing else is killing them. One guy picked up all the shells on the beach. The other guy gathered all the coconuts. By happy coincidence (actually, happy convenience), each person has 100 of the things they gathered. So the coconut guy likes shells and shell guy wants to eat coconuts. Since there are 100 coconuts and also 100 shells, the exchange rate would be  $100s/100c$ , or 1 shell per coconut. This is the basic principle of eco-

There are ways that variables can be put into this island scenario to teach all sorts of economic principles. For instance, there's not much for the guy who sells coconuts to do with his shells once he has them all and all the coconuts are eaten. So let's put a third person on the island who was really crafty and built a fishing rod and was able to catch 100 fish. Now the shell

guy could buy coconuts or fish depending on his mood. And either the coconut guy or the fish guy could use their shells to buy from each other. Now suddenly, the economy is dynamic. The coconut guy's shells are of value to the fish guy and vice versa. And they both value the shell collector's shells. So the coconut guy and shell guy continue to replenish their supply, while the shell guy looks for new shells. The economy is flowing naturally, but with new shells being added, let's say, by 10 a year, the economy naturally generates inflation. No one's appetite really changes too much, so the only thing to reduce the cost of things is the introduction of new products into the economy. If some guy from a neighboring island came with a raft and sold fishing rods he made to the coconut guy and the shell guy, the demand of fish would drop and its cost would decrease because there is more for everyone.

That is, if the coconut guy and the shell guy took the time to fish. Well, why wouldn't they? Because they're busy collecting shells and coconuts, just as the fish guy isn't busy collecting the shells. He's already got fishing on his agenda.

It's the same reason why we aren't all out there mining for gold. It is nice for those who enjoy it, but most of us have already chosen our vocation, be it nursing, creating products of our own, providing some service for others who can't, and so on.

Therefore, all of us working for our livings, to get the money, whose value is determined by its entire volume's exchange rate of the whole of goods and services we demand, that creates an economy. It's all one large, complicated island.

I could diverge from here into any kind of economic lesson that stems from these basic concepts (it's like

how algebra builds upon earlier concepts), the article \*IS\* about how we'll never get rid of money.

So let's say some communist has this brilliant idea that removing the money supply would make everything worth zero, making all possessions equal, and we're all living in John Lennon's stupid \*imagine\* song, with all of singing "I'd Like to Teach the World to Sing".

Ding dong! You're wrong!

Let's look at a place where money has been eliminated: many county prisons. The transfer of money in commerce is forbidden in many jails. But eliminating money doesn't mean that all possessions go away. And wherever there are possessions, there are people who covet the possessions of others. It is not uncommon in prisons for a functional item, such as cigarettes, to become a substitute for money. In such a case, cigarettes are valued even by people who don't smoke because of their acquired monetary value. Now cigarettes have all the power of money to make commerce happen.

Now, let's say that some statist take over the prison system or Michael Bloomberg becomes Obama's new attorney general. Cigarettes are banned. The supply then becomes secret, and dwindles over time, as cigarettes are either smoked or confiscated over time. Their value to purchase increases, and the result is deflation (like when fewer shells buy more coconuts.) It would be a long time before all the cigarettes were removed from the economy, and their value will be much higher the fewer there are.

This really happened in 1933, when the gold act was issued by President and all-around socialist Franklin Roosevelt. He decreed that all gold coins were illegal to own and became government property. Their evil plan, like most socialist plans, was a major failure in two ways:

1) it only made the Depression much worse, but so was just about everything FDR did (this may come as a surprise to those who were spoon-fed lies to the contrary in public school).

2) Millions of coins were confiscated and melted down, but so, too, were millions of coins kept safe from the government's grubby hands.

All money printed by the federal reserve were backed by gold. that means that for every dollar issued, the government had a dollar's worth of gold in its vaults. A person was able to redeem a dollar for a dollar in gold on demand at any bank. This prevented the government from artificially inflating the money supply. If the government issued more dollar bills than it had dollar coins, and everyone in America redeemed all of their dollars at the same time, the government would be unable to honor all the redemptions. When FDR unpinned the money supply to the gold supply, it freed itself to print money at will. This caused rapid inflation while the economy continued to suffer: \*stagflation\*.

Making gold ownership illegal was folly; now coins from that era are highly valued by collectors, investors, and insurers the world over. People value gold \*because\* it is so limited. And if there was no gold, people will attach value to some other asset that isn't easily acquired. We're still one big island. Economics occurs naturally, whether or not we regulate it.

You just won't get rid of money because other things will always take their place. You can't stop human nature, which is what the statisticians, communists, liberals, Occupy Wall Street, and all others who despise economic freedom try to do. Even if there is no money, you can't stop people from wanting the possessions of others, and devising ways to barter agreements to exchange things that we want from each other. ((L))

~~~~~

REPEAL AND \_DO NOTHING!!!!\_

~~~~~

Republicans ran in 2014 on repealing Obamacare if they were given a House majority. The voters delivered. the republicans didn't. Weasels. But did you expect otherwise from republicans? So we put them in there and instead of repeal Obamacare, they \*fund\* it! Then they gave their usual whining about how people will blame them for a government shutdown. Never mind the fact that their numbers INCREASE and the president's DECREASE whenever it happened, whether the president was Clinton or Obama. Looks like they value freedom as little as Obama himself.

So the next round of republicans has surfaced: the presidential candidates. Some of them are saying that if elected, they will "repeal and replace Obamacare". What the #\$%& is this?

WE DON'T WANT YOU TO REPLACE OBAMACARE WITH ANOTHER GOVERNMENT "SOLUTION! Replacing Obamacare is like a doctor removing a child's diseased appendix, then the parents asking the doctor what she's going to put in its place! We don't want or need to replace Obamacare with any other government solution. What we need in its place is NOTHING! The people will do it best without the government getting in the way. Oh, and for those who HATE the insurance system, 1) some insurance companies benefited from Obamacare if they were the "right" ones in Obama's eyes, and 2) the system since the 1960s was better by far than Obamacare, but the problems it had were GOVERNMENT CREATED! ...given to us in the 1960s by TED KENNEDY; so we trusted TED KENNEDY, who screwed up the system in the first place, to be a major force in giving us the even WORSE replacement?!!! ((L))

And with the uncertainty in America today, as few terrorists must be captured on the field as possible. As I said before, our prisons are too good for the terrorists. This is compounded by the fact that the Obama administration will ensure that no expense is spared on luxuries such as swimming pools (it's been done already; look it up) and every demand they make, while or veterans, who fought valiantly against these dirt-bags, continue to die their hands (by "their", I mean the administration's) waiting for health care. Already 365,000, or 1/3 of VA patients, are DEAD from neglect! The treatment of our military women and men is Viet Nam all over again! Our veterans continue to die. Meanwhile, Obama

Now, on with the story. In case you haven't heard of a \*red room\*, these represent the worst of the web. It is allegedly a site where people have a hostage on video, and viewers pay bitcoins to request the hostage taker to harm, torture, etc. the hostage on video and/or kill them. Makes a great horror movie. Sickest

stuff in the world, and definitely never run by libertarians. I've never done the research to find out if such things are real. All I do know, to save money of any of you LibertORian readers who may be curious about these things: the one web site SHADOW WEB GATEWAY is a scam. Enough people with deep web experience have attested to this. Don't lose your bitcoins on them.

Anyway, I discovered a deep web site with the following message.

\* \* \* \* \* BEGIN \* \* \* \* \*

> Greetings!  
>  
> Do you think you've seen the worst  
> yet?  
>  
> On the 29th Aug 2015 00:00:00 UTC,  
> right here, on this onion address,  
> a new market will open. One that  
> even the feds will love.  
>  
> Do not miss the market opening.  
> do not want to miss! Watch real  
> life terrorist turn on each other.  
>  
> The world wide hate against ISIS  
> has created new demands and new  
> possibilities. Let us satisfy your  
> hate.  
>  
>  
> What to expect  
>  
> We will with official media pic-  
> tures and ISIS propaganda material  
> prove to you that we have 7 very  
> real ISIS jihadists in our capture.  
>  
> Everything is live and interactive.  
>  
> Their fate will be in your hands.  
> At 00:00 we will let everyone set-  
> tle down, grab their popcorn, get  
> their streams working, get familiar  
> with the chat and mingle.  
>  
> Meanwhile, our guests waiting for  
> their 72 virgins will be inter-  
> viewed, dressed in womens [sic]  
> clothing and other fun as we're

> letting the viewers settle down.  
>  
> Until 02:00, things will be pretty  
> SFW. At 01:30 we will let you vote  
> for 2 of the mujahedins to be part  
> of the rest of the show.  
>  
> The other 5 are assets for future  
> business opportunities.  
>  
> We will go on for as long as we  
> possible can, even without sleep  
> if necessary.  
>  
> Everything is completely free and  
> open for everyone, no restrictions  
> no demands! You will be able to  
> donate, but that is completely  
> optional and have no effects on  
> the show. This one is on the  
> house.  
>  
> 5 terrorists will still be un-  
> touched, business opportunities  
> will be disclosed a few days  
> after. By then, you will obvious-  
> ly know that we can provide.  
>  
> There will be no limits. We will  
> be as lawfull [sic] as ISIS. YOU  
> decide their fate and what we do  
> with them. We will listen.  
>  
> There will be many games with  
> interesting prizes, such as [ex-  
> plicit] and charade. The day will  
> be full of fun and surprises. Do  
> not missit! [sic] It'll be his-  
> torical, we've been preparing  
> this for so long.  
>  
> This is the day the world gets its  
> revenge on evil. There will be ba-  
> con, [explicit], dogs and other  
> surprises.  
>  
> This will be the most spectacular  
> thing you've seen in your whole  
> life, be so sure! WE ARE MAKING  
> HISTORY!  
>  
> See you on the 29th Aug 2015  
> 00:00:00 UTC. Until then, gentle-  
> men.

\* \* \* \* \* END \* \* \* \* \*

. . ; ' ' , , ; . .  
.oOK0K0KK000KK0k: .  
,ok0KK00K000000000000Od: .  
. :xK000000000000000000000000k1 .  
;OKKKKK000000000000000000000000;  
.oKKKKKKKK0000000000000000000000;  
,0K0KKKKKKK0000000000000000000000o .  
.OKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000000000000000000000:  
1XXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00000000000000000000K1  
dXKKXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00000000000000000000Oc  
xXKKXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00000000000000000000Ko  
xXXKKXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000000000000000000Kd .  
:XKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00cc: ' ':oOKKKK00000001  
.0KKKXXKKK0x1ok0000xc;; ' . ;d0KKKKK00K000 ,  
xXKKXXKKKKKk1 . .co000Odlook0KKKKKK00000000:  
:NXK0000KKKKx' . ;k0000KKK00KKKKKK00000000Kk .  
.KN0dxOOKKK0kxkk000K00KKKKKKKKKKKK000000000o  
cXX00KKKXXKKKK000000000KKKKKKKKKKKK000000000o .  
.xXXXXXXXXXXXXXK000000KKKK00KKKKKKK00000000001  
.0KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000000000000;  
,0XXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKK0000000000000000;  
,0NXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKK000000KKKKK000000d .  
'OXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXKKK00000000000000000;  
oXXNXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKK0000000000000000:  
,KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKK0000000000000000K00d'  
1XKKXXXXXXXXXXXXKK0000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000d .  
kNXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00000xolc;' .  
:XKKXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00K00K0ko, .  
1KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00K0000ok1', , , , , :c1  
;0XXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000K00dc1lllc:cd0000  
.1KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000Kk1:cclcco000000  
.1KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000Oc;:c1ld0KK0000  
.cdKXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000Ko;:ccloodOKKKKK00  
.xNXXXXXXXXXXXXNXXXXNXXXXKKKKKKKKKK000k;:clodxk0KKKKK00  
'kXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000o,::clox000KKKKK0  
cXNNXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000:;:;:clk0K00KXKKK  
cXNXXXXXXXXXXXXNXXXXKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00k;:;:;:lxKK00KXKKK  
.1KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00d,;:;:;:ckKK000XKKK  
,kXXXXKXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00o..', , , , 1OKK000XKKK  
.oOKKXXXXXKK00KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKKKOc.. ' ' 1OKXK00KXKK  
.1KKKKXXXXXK000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKKKO; . . . :OKXKKXXXXK  
.o0KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKK0000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0: . . :kXKKXXXXX  
,0KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0; . , d0XKKXXXXX  
.xKXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKo . ' 10XKKKXNX  
.OXXXXXXXXXXXXXXK00KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0KKKXO. . ' :ONXKKXNX  
oNXXXXXXXXXXXXXK0KKKXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0KKK0c . ' :ONXKKKXNX  
.KXKXXXXXXXXXXXXXK00KXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKx. . . :ONXKKKXNX  
,XXXXXKKKXXXXXXXXXK000KXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00, . . . ;kNXXKKXNX  
1NXXXXKKKKKKKKXXXXXK0000KXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00c. . . . ,xNXXKKXNX  
.OXNXXKKKKKKXXXXXK00000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KXKK0Ko. . . . ' dXNXXXXX  
dXXXXXKKKXXXXXXXXXK0000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKKKK00KKKKKKk' . . . ' 1XNNNXXXX  
,NXXXXKKKXXXXXXXXXK00KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000KKKKKK00KKKK0; . . . ' cXNXXNXXXX  
oWXXXXKKKKKKXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000KKKKKK0KKKXX1. . . . :KNXNNNNN  
OXKXXXXKKKKKKXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000KKKKKKK000KXd. . . . ,0XNNNNNN

THIS IS THE ISIS MEMBER WHO BEHEADED AMERICAN STEVEN SOTLOFF...  
HE IS WEARING A HOOD BECAUSE HE IS A COWARD

Okay, now. When I said don't hurt other people and don't take their stuff, I mean it. These terrorists are the scum of the Earth. But, I didn't say don't hurt others unless they are the scum of the Earth and then it's okay. The date for this event, August 29th, has come and gone. I don't know if this was an event that took place or not. I'm sure that if it is, the remaining 5 terrorists were bought by the Obama administration and set free.

What is disturbing about this is that these dirtbags are no longer a threat. To play games like this with human life, no matter how deserving one may think they are of it. I think this is one of the rare cases where the death penalty is justified, only because this is the one sure-fire way to protect people from their murderous acts. The world has become so uncertain these days. I attribute it to the United States being so unstable, and where America goes, so does the world. It's no longer a sure thing that life in a military prison means so. In this case, I make an exception, though I'm not happy with this hard decision. I oppose the death penalty because 1) it hurts people, and 2) it is state-sanctioned murder. But if there is a candidate for the death penalty, that title belongs to ISIS. And Planned Predators.

But if it is to be administered, do it as humanely as possible.

This grotesque show does nothing. Nothing but fuel the hate. Did you read how they want us to tap into our hate, for entertainment purposes? And when it is all said and done, how will this kind of treatment make things any better? Can it undo the evil that ISIS has done? No. Are we any better off? Not in the least? Did we feed our hatred and bring ourselves down to their level? Yes!

In the portion of this onion site

you read here, and other parts, the sitemasters say things like, "we will be as legal as ISIS was", or "what we're doing is no worse than ISIS. Maybe so. But it is no better than them, either. Isn't the least that we can ask is that we be better than ISIS? I'd hate to say anything that may be misconstrued as any statement remotely saying anything positive on ISIS, and this is not intended to do justice to them, but at least ISIS is motivated by hate AND a belief in something. this is motivated by hate alone.

I can understand people's hatred for terrorists, especially if they had a loved one murdered by these dirtbags. But there was an ISIS member who recently converted away from the life of terror because he saw how Christians held captive forgave them and showed courage in not renouncing their belief in Christ in their last moments. Isn't it amazing that such a contrast in the behavior of ISIS' hostages had the power to convert?!

They say that courage is contagious. When that scumbag in Oregon singled out Christians for death in the mass shooting, and spared the lives of the non-Christians, the pattern was quite apparent by the time the ninth person was killed, but it didn't stop people from professing their faith. I'm not saying this as a criticism of those without faith in God, because it's not. But love and courage will RISE ABOVE hate.

Examples of this is even found in the silliest, most absurd places. John Cena, the clean-cut, all-American, play-by-rules wrestler in the WWE, uses the slogan RISE ABOVE HATE. On the Big Bang Theory, streetwise Penny perplexes supergenius Sheldon with advice so simple, Sheldon overlooks it: LOVE TRUMPS HATE.

I'm nowhere near perfect. So I'm not telling you to love your enemy. That is up to you. But these guys, dirt bags as they are, were made in the likeness of God before they chose




their life of dirtbagginess. God wanted them to choose love too. It upsets Him that they chose evil and a life that will lead them to an eternity of eternal condemnation. But God is a God of justice, and it is written, "Vengeance is mine, says the Lord." We are not to be gleeful in this fact, but be saddened that they threw away what could have been a virtuous life.

Yes, I believe all that. If you don't believe in God, I'm fine with that, and aren't telling you this to impose my belief onto you. I'm just writing this to say that we can rise above their mentality. I'm also not asking for compassion on these jerks and a half. But let's not delve into becoming a sadistic, barbaric society. There's enough of that in the history of mankind.

For instance, there is a difference between the American revolution of 1776 and the French revolution of 1789. The Americans prayed for the souls of the British soldiers they killed. Even when they killed, they showed respect. In sharp contrast, the French revolution was fought in the name of "reason", was without any moral grounding, destroyed historical buildings unrelated to Louis XIV's oppression, and burned the churches and killed the pastors who had nothing to do with the monarchy.

I'd even be okay turning ISIS over to the Israeli government. They may be harsher than I wish, but at least they may get needed intelligence out of them that America won't. The USA really has no moral authority even to fight ISIS, considering that our own government adamantly supports murdering babies. We've got to clean up our act before going overseas and preaching to them on morality.

Let's start with ourselves, though. That's what libertarianism's all about. Take the first step and say NO! to lowering ourselves to ISIS' level. ((L))



If you made an anonymous donation to LibertORian, THANK YOU. This ASCII Thank you graphic was hand-made just for this blurb. Now the mission is to find the wisest use of the funds. THANK YOU for your generosity. ((L))

~~~~~

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT OF THE DAY:  
The Second Amendment  
~~~~~

With that scuzzball in Oregon who was shooting Christians in a college the big event, Obama is peeing his pants with glee (thx to an onionland user for that visual), excited to politicize the dead for statist gain and so brazen that he even says that it's what he's doing! His exploitation of people murdered for their religious beliefs led to 6000 locals signing a petition saying "WE DON'T WANT YOU COMING TO THE FUNERAL!" By the way, an army vet ran to his car to get his gun to stop the scumbag, whom we won't dignify by naming in LibertORian (he wanted his name to be famous) and the rescuer was stopped by the only campus guard and his gun confiscated because it was a "GUN FREE ZONE". Lives could have been saved if it weren't for statism trying to repeal this amendment:

"A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

HISTORY LESSON FOR STATISTS: The word \*regulated\* meant \*functioning\* in colonial times, not \*controlled by the government\* (so it means, "a well functioning militia".) ((L))

.  
lk  
.Ok  
dlk  
o:'k  
:kO 'k  
l:o;o;k  
lk .kk  
,::, ck  
.. '':.

.'.  
.l ,X:  
d' .N' '  
o; oM',d  
'...,'.

.'.  
,,'c. .d.  
'd. l, k'  
.d l. ,l  
l' dc:  
l :l.lc  
'l .k. k'  
,l d' k.  
,o,od' .o:  
, ' '':.

,.  
'oll  
k,:c  
.k'k.  
,Od,  
cW: ..  
Oc o:  
0, dc'.  
d:.c.  
,,'

.....  
'o. oc  
'o co  
l. ::'c.  
.l  
,l  
,l  
,l ;,  
, '...',  
...

','  
::  
k. '  
,::,  
..

,,'  
10 d'  
.kk. ,k  
:d: ,k  
k, c' ,k  
k' ',O:  
:d .k,  
,;d. d'  
c'l:'...:  
.. ..

..  
k'  
:d  
k'  
:d  
...,0,  
k. :O  
.k ok l.  
c:.'dk':,  
.

','...:  
.k. co  
.k ;:::  
oo  
,,c:  
.l  
,l  
'l cc  
'...,'

...c  
d'co  
klc..d.  
.c....

~~~~~

## RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART, PART 2

~~~~~

### HAND-WRITING LETTERS (& CURSIVE)

- By Synonymous 1 -

This may not seem like a lost art to you, but the younger readers may be unfamiliar with cursive writing or why it is important. A good starting point would be WHY it's becoming a lost art... and it has little to do with typing and the internet.

The internet does put the focus upon young people towards typing while de-emphasizing writing, but the big push comes from the statist movement by the federal government to implement \*Common Core\*, a set of standards specifically designed to ensure that children come out of the public school system uneducated.

One of the crucial ways Common Core keeps children ignorant is the complete elimination of cursive writing education from all public schools. There is a motive behind it. One of the enemies of statism and the progressive agenda is the existence of real documents that reveal the truth behind history. Such documents are often the bane to revisionist history, as they prove the truth in the actual words of those who were present. For instance, David Barton, the world's foremost collector of Revolutionary War era documents, has more than enough of the actual writings of the Founding Fathers to dispel the myth that originated in the Wisconsin universities that most of them were deists. So, short of destroying these documents, how can statist prevent them from being read?

If the ability to read cursive is "forgotten", future generations will be unable to read a hand-written letter... or a historical document! Today's \*Raiders of the Lost Art\* is

```

.....c' '      .cc:
:c   k.          k'co
, .. ,o         .k.k.
      l'         ccd,
      .d..       oO:
      :c         .0,
, : ' .k.        cc .
oc .l,          k. ,o
... ' : .       cW: , ,
              .00.
              dkk
              ,o,k
              l,d:
              , ,
.
.ddd
oc,k
occo 'X,
      10, .., 0,
      co   k,
. 'd.   'k
.k'd.   co
ok      'd.
, , ' ' . . . '
              , , .
              ,c' .lO.
              .k   ;o .
              .k   l' 'o.
              , , . 'x, '
              ,kc
              .l:k.
              k.co
              .O'd.
              , '
              :cO.
              'k k.
              ll:o
              kok.
              .KKc..
              .WKc l'
              ;W: l ' ' .
              ld l :o
              , , , ' '
              , ,
...
oc l
k, l,
k, l'
,d l
cOl
.. Oo
d: ,d.
:o :c
. ' ' .
              , ,
              .
              .
              '
              dl
              'K. :
              'k : ,
              ' , .

```

for these generations, in the event that parents think that the schooling that their children are getting currently is adequate.

At the time of release of this issue of LiberTORian (2015), children are still being taught to print in block letters. The information following this paragraph may seem childishly stupid for you to read, but remember that this material will be all-new to the generation that follows the millennials.

Cursive writing is an alternative form of printing, where each letter is printed in a alternative, fancy style. All letters of the alphabet have their own look, which can be seen on the left-hand column of this article. You will notice that the cursive alphabet is made up of curve shapes. Each letter is tied together with \*ties\*, enabling an entire word (except the dots in the lower-case i and j, and the cross bar in the lower-case t) to be written in a single stroke without lifting one's pen.

A supplement to LiberTORian 10 will circulate in conjunction with this file: LiberTORian Issue 10A. Issue 10A depicts ASCII art of the cursive alphabet in greater detail. Keep in mind that cursive writing opens itself up to becoming stylized. The letters shown here are not the only way the letters can be written. For example, the capital A is drawn more commonly like a giant oval, a larger version of the lower case \*a\*. Each writer, over time, puts their own character to the lettering style and makes it her own (or his own). There is an entire field of "hand-writing analysts", who examine the writing style alone of a person, ignoring the content of the writing completely, and using their skills, look into the psyche of the writer to discover insights that can not be found in the words themselves.

Look at each letter's shape carefully and reproduce it with your pen.

```

      .''
      l k.
      ,l k,
      ,l k,
      ,l k,
      .l 'k
      l oc
      l:k.
      ,Wk,.
      oMl
      .dxc'
      .d.l
      .,',
      l. co
      l ,k
      .l co'c.
      kll
      :d l
      k. l
      . oc l
      ol;: c, 'c.
      ..

      ,c
      .k k,
      :d k'
      k,:
      .Kd.
      ..lk
      k,
      c.'lo
      k':o:,'',,,
      .

      ,l.
      l.k,
      l.k.
      ,od,
      ,Xo
      lO
      .K,
      O' c,
      olc,
      .

      '':. ;,: ,,'c.
      k. ckd. kd: :d
      c; :Mc OK ,k
      . k0 ,Mc d:
      .X: cO .k.
      ck k, co
      k, ,k k,
      .k d: 'k l.
      ., c .l',.

      ,ol.:dc.:l
      :.K; ,X' co
      :k oc k, :
      c. c. lc,:

      ,;.c...c.
      .k. :Ok. ,k
      :; cMc ,k
      kO d:
      .K, k.
      cd :d
      k' k'
      'k ,k l.
      .l .c,:.

      c:kd'cd
      ,,.0' ,k
      co d: c,
      :. :c,'

```

Printable guides exist to learn the cursive letters stroke-by-stroke on clearnet at this time (2015). You can find them with a search on Duck Duck Go's image search (or that of your favorite non-Google search engine; by the way, did you know that Google has a contract with the U.S. government and has \$\$\$Billions\$\$\$ to gain in crony socialism via Common Core?). I suggest that you archive a copy of such guides alongside your LibertORian collection. The future may need them.


#### WRITING ACTUAL LETTERS AND SENDING THEM THROUGH THE MAIL

One of the things you can do with cursive is hand-write a letter and mail it to someone.

"Now, why would I do something like that?" I hear you cry. "I have an email account!"

Simple. Before the proliferation of email, people took the time and effort into writing letters to one another. Since letters were usually written in ink, one's words were chosen more carefully before limned on paper. Recipients of letters in the mail often cherished them and sometimes kept and collected them as mementos of their loved ones.

A letter contains five parts, whose positions are depicted in the diagram below:



```

      .
      ,kd, :,
      o,k, d:
      c, oc ,k
      l .d. :d
      ,l .l k,
      ,l .lO'
      ,l .k.'
      .l .c.
      ,.,.'

      ....
      '':':....:
      .d' l, ,d
      .d. l' .k
      c: d. c'
      l 'o'....
      .l d'
      ,l o:
      ,l.o,
      ,'.

      .'.'.
      .c' o:
      k' ,k
      'k .: :d
      o'',. k'
      o:
      :'
      '.. .o.
      k ;lll .:,
      '' ''.'

      ....'
      .:,:. .c
      ,o l, l'
      'd l. l.
      l' d. ,;
      l :Kk...
      'l .k:k
      ,l d'.k ,
      ,o,o. d:'c'
      .. .'

      .::
      d:k.
      k,k.
      .Od
      .k:;
      .d. .o.
      'o d' ,k
      dx' ,k
      .cl'....c.
      . ...

      ...
      ;'Olo.
      'l cd:l..
      .l ,O;'
      ''',.

      :c..
      :X, 'd.
      kd k,..
      k' :kcc
      co .': '
      k'
      ,o
      l.
      :

      '.'. '
      .o. kc
      l, l :.
      ,l :l'c.
      .':Wl.
      oM'
      'kO.
      d:k'
      ddo

      od.
      oc.o'
      . l, ,,
      l.:c
      .'.

      .
      ok
      :co:
      . .k.';
      .'.0ol
      ...

```

The five parts are:

- 1) HEADER: historical information.
- 2) SALUTATION: A greeting to the recipient.
- 3) BODY: the content, consisting of the writer's thoughts and ideas the writer wishes to convey.
- 4) CLOSING: indicates that the letter has reached its end.
- 5) SIGNATURE: The person's name.

There are two types of letters:

- 1) PERSONAL LETTER: A letter to a loved one or friend.
- 2) BUSINESS LETTER: A letter to a business.

Both types of letters have the same structure, but each type has its own structural rules. LiebrTORian will examine personal letters first.

#### WRITING A PERSONAL LETTER

A personal letter is a thrill to a person who receives one in this day and age. This is especially true when the recipient is a seasoned citizen who remembers the thrill of getting what they consider a gift from a loved one. Here is how to write each part of a personal letter to someone.

PAPER: a typical personal letter is written on lined paper, like college ruled paper. If the paper has holes so that it can be placed in a 3-ring or 5-ring binder, the front of the page has the holes on the left side, and the margin (unruled portion) on top. Both sides of the paper are written upon.

HEADER: The header rests on the top line. For a personal letter, it is simply the date the letter was written.

SALUTATION: The salutation is a short phrase that includes the name of the recipient and always ends in a comma. The standard format is almost exclusively the same for any

,.....'	c.
:c k'	.k.
.c.. 'd	oc
l,	,O,,
l	cc
,l	.k.
'.. d.	kd
d: o:	o; .k.
.c...:	c;' ,.

'::: .:	
k. co .k	
c; co oc	
. k' .k.	
,k ok	
d: .N:	d. :c
.k. dW,	ck .O.
.k ;Ok l.	d: dk .o.
,:'' ,.c,::	:c':,o'::

,;.c. .lcl	
.k. :d d:,k	
:; ll cooc	
.k' .dk.	
:d ol.	
k, co	ck 'O
.k .o	Ol ;Nl..
.k 'c	k, l...
c'''	,:..'

c:.l' Ol 'o.	
.k. ,k oM, c:	
,: ,k .kK, ::	
,k c'k,'c	
d:.l'k.k.	
kcd.,Oo:	:; oc ,K.
kXc ,Md	.k 'k cKc'.
kO cK.	'k .kc .o. .
' . ''	:; ,',',

.... ...	
,o :c.c, l'	
c: xo.	
,. .O.	
:o	
O'	'...',.
cX. .	.d' xk..
,Ok .d.	' . x. '
o' :c.k. .k.	:k .o.
,... .'. '	,', '...'

personal letter:

Dear [First Name of Recipient],

It is written on the first line, on the left side of the page. A little room is given for creativity to replace the word "dear". More common variants are:

Dearest [Name],  
My Dear [Name],  
My beloved [Name],

BODY: The body is what you want to write, sharing personal thoughts and ideas. Children's personal leutters often begin with: "How are you? I am fine. Last week, I.... (event in child's life)." You can do better, and you improve with practice of the skill. Indent with each new paragraph.

CLOSING: The opposite of the salutation, the closing indicates that the letter has ended. Closings always end with a comma. The most common closing is:

Love,

Other common closings are:

Best Regards,  
Your Friend,

The closing is usually centered on the page.

SIGNATURE: Your signature. This is written on the next line, beginning slightly right of the closing.

## WRITING A BUSINESS LETTER

A business letter gets the attention of a business that an email can't. It shows that the person cared so much that they took the time to sit with a physical writing utensil and express their concerns.

PAPER: Business letters should be on unlined paper. A person can tape a piece of lined paper to a glass win-

```

' ' . '
o, o: k.
.k. ,k :d
.' d: k'
.k. :k
ll O:
k' cO
'k 'Wo,.
d' 'xKc.
'dKc
l,o
d,'l
co d. ....
coo: .o. oc
l. ,k
l ,d co
,' ' k.
:c
':
:'. ,.cc:'
.d..lKo'
.;oK,
.l.k'
o'co
,k.d.
.d:..

```

```

., ..
lc o
d. co ',
l .:Kc::
.'':O;
.cOc
,o,k
l oc
o:c
,.,.
o' k.
.,d , ,
.'':',
;o:,
;X,
c;k,
'k.k
.d;..

```

dow and tape an unlined paper in front of it. One can see the lines using the sunlight shining through.

HEADER: Business headers are longer and should include the following:

- o Address
- o Phone Number (optional)
- o Date

SALUTATION: Before women were becoming CEOs, "Dear sir:" was conventional. Nowadays we use, in order of common use:

- o To whom it may concern:
- o Dear Sir or Madam:

Business salutations always end in a colon (:) and not a comma (,).

BODY: The body is to be more terse, no more than three paragraphs, and should include the following:

- o Why you are writing
- o What you would like
- o A "thank you" in advance


CLOSING: The closing is invariably the same for every business letter:

Sincerely,


SIGNATURE: The signature is exactly the same as the signature for a personal letter.

PUTTING IT IN THE ENVELOPE AND MAILING THE LETTER

Envelopes have two sides:



FRONT



BACK

You write your address, the RETURN address, in the area marked A. If there is a problem delivering your

letter, it can be returned to you.

The recipient's address is written in the area marked B. It can be written slightly larger than the return address. WRITE THE ZIP CODE AS CLEARLY AS POSSIBLE. Machines read the ZIP code and clear writing will expedite the delivery.

An alternate place to write your return address is C.

The stamp is placed right in the corner marked D. Currently the cost of a U.S. stamp is 49 cents.

Mail it off and you're done! You can even mail it from your own mailbox. Putting the mailbox flag in the \*up\* position lets the mailman know something's inside.

So why not give someone a thrill and write a letter right now? ((L))

Most of the world subscribes to a religion of some kind, whether it be Bhuddism, Hinduism, some form of

LiberTORian's G.P.S made a nice ASCII comic illustrating the point. Enjoy!

-Synonymous Xi ((L))



$$\begin{array}{c} \text{—} \\ ( \quad ) \\ \text{—} \\ ( \quad ) \\ \text{—} \end{array}$$

Many networks with low viewerships would simply go away, or be forced to compete in the marketplace. It won't be long, anyway, before they will be forced by technology alone

•  
• •  
• /  
• /  
• / / •  
• • • / /

A complex musical score for a single melodic line, featuring a variety of rhythmic values including eighth, sixteenth, and thirty-second notes, as well as rests and accidentals. The notation is dense and spans multiple staves.

```

cddc
OMMO
;NWMMWNdkNNkXlKNNl   oNNO   ...
.0MM0..0MMM0d:NMMo    dMMK .lkkkkd;
OMMO  OMMO  .NMMo    kMMK.o,,,'c:;;
OMMO  OMMk    OMMWkdOMMMK.do oo .d'
o00d  d00o    l0NNNOx00x  ;ldxoc.

```

Depicted are the logos of some of the channels with low or dwindling viewer turnout, that have a lot to lose if cable and TV subscribers were given a la carte services. Other channels with low average viewerships include:

al Jazeera itself on average has under 13,000 viewers, but it's backed by non-viewing subscribers AND its owner, the dictator of Qatar.

to make such decisions. People are shutting off their cable and instead are watching only the TV series they want on NetFlix and the other online streaming services. Or, they are opting to subscribe to internet-only 24/7 TV networks. The first such channel was The Blaze, which in its first year was online only before it partnered with Dish Network on its first anniversary. The Blaze pioneered many of the technologies that the internet made possible, such as 2nd screen technology and hypernews citation links. The WWE network was the second network of this type, and it is still an internet exclusive. Traditional networks must find ways to compete or risk diminishing market influence.

This article was about halfway finished when I received a hidden text message from one of the other Libertarian writers:

-----  
Did-U put that ala carte article to rest yet? Cuz Rush limbaugh is on air RIGHT NOW talking bout it!!  
-----

I tuned in then, curious to listen to what he was talking about. He concluded with a major point which I wasn't aware of, forcing me to have to rewrite what I wrote so far to be more concise and fit in the new material (and this explanation, which, to be terse, will end on this line.)

The audio at the moment I was tuning in said that 3.2 million people cut their cable, and ESPN was hurting the most, because they received six dollars per subscriber per month. This is a loss of \$19.2 million per month or \$230 million per year (Rush rounded to \$250 million). ESPN will be laying off over 300 employees. That's how the cookie crumbles. If market forces mean the demand isn't there, the nature of economics will drive ESPN out of the market (unless the government deems them "too big to fail"). Rush subscribes the loss to online TV channels, and the ex

viewers being nerds who never tuned in to ESPN to begin with.

Then came the part about TV bundling that I never knew before. It was described as the TV networks' "secret".

The vast majority of Hollywood films lose money. They cost too much for the average viewer to not be selective, the ratio of good to bad films is increasing, and many force statist themes or agendas that no one wants to have preached to them in what is supposed to be an escape from these things. As a business model, they are dismal failures, and would not survive on their revenues alone. So they need another source of funding.

That source is television.

The secret is that Hollywood studios need to own one or more television stations as a guaranteed source of revenue from the public. THESE STATIONS ARE WHAT FUNDS THE DOMINANT POP CULTURE AND ITS VALUES. Millions of people object to the values of Hollywood, which often attacks capitalism, freedom, Constitutional and family values. Yet they must unwillingly fund the studios which would not survive the marketplace on their own.

Like everything else in life that doesn't harm others, let the viewer decide which channels they want to support with their money. The average TV viewer has 15 channels that define all their viewing interests. Most people don't ever venture past those 15 channels. So why must they purchase 200 channels for those 15? It's an unfair, unlibertarian, and crooked practice. Let the networks, and the Hollywood companies that own many of them, base their success on the quality of their products, and not force a captive non-audience to be the source of income for their ongoing failure. Since politicians won't listen, demand change via your TV providers!  
(L)

[illegible]

# CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY

- `captaina.hac`

[illegible]

WHO IS CAPTAIN ZAP? is a transcript of a Washington Post article from 1984, and is a great example demonstrating that hacking is not such a black-and-white issue as many who

aren't immersed in the subculture  
may think. Below is the 80-column  
original article, (perfect width for  
a LiberTORian appearance) exactly as  
it appeared, typos and all!

\*\*\*\*\*

ok.

32

File: WHO IS CAPTAIN ZAP?

Read 23 times

[illegible]

Some once-rebellious computer hackers' may be going establishment.

A Philadelphian named "Captain Zap," for example, who calls himself a "retired electronics hobbyist," is even doing computer security. Quite a switch from the days when he and friends were stealing -- via computer -- hundreds of thousands of dollars in goods and services.

Another young man simultaneously advises corporations and puts out the TAP newsletter for hacking trying to get into big computers without authorizaition.

Aside from the ethical questions, can such consultants help prevent incidents such as the recent acquisition of secret passwords to a computer storing credit data at the TRW Information Systems, Anaheim, Calif.? Opinions vary.

On on hand, you normally don't hire an ex-thief to guard your safe. But on the other hand, a New Jersey security man says he's uncovered hacker threats -- via Zap -- to major banks and dozens of corporations. He and a prominent Washington-area consultant are among those who swear by Zap. A third computer expert working for the prestigious SRI think tank in Menlo Park, Calif., claims hackers without the usual credentials can make first-rate security people. The term "hacker," he stresses, is far from synonymous with "criminal."

Says Jay BloomBecker, a Los Angeles computer crime expert: "It's pretty foolish to hire a hacker who's broken the law because they've already demonstrated their lack of trustworthiness. There are a lot of other people

just as bright who have stayed within the law."

Zap, whose real name is Ian A. Murphy, drew his nickname out of the air. "I zapped security, so I named myself that. I tried not to destroy anything. The object was to show people that if I could get in, a less benign person could."

In the names of major corporations, however, Zap and friends ordered, in the early '80s, five Texas Instrument 787 terminals worth \$3,800 each, a \$13,000 Hewlett Packard minicomputer and other odds and ends.

All together they stole over \$100,000 in goods and \$212,000 in services. Zap received a \$1,000 fine, 2.5 years probation and 15 hours a week of community service.

Despite his anti-establishment computer acts, he is a Philadelphia Republican fond of wing tips ("they show good breeding"). His usual rates are between \$450 and \$1,200 a day, plus expenses. He says he now has six clients through subcontracting, although his high daily rate apparently puts off many prospects. To earn rent money, Zap fills in as an air-conditioning repairman, work he started in his teens when he first began taking computer-science courses (and started hacking).

"I kept asking Spring, Bel and the others for jobs," he says, "and they kept turning me down. The strange thing is that I'd call Bell and say, 'Hi there, I bet I can get into this and that,' and they'd say, 'No, you can't.'"

He could. He charged phone calls to an ocean liner, and even broke into military computer networks to play computer games. ("They have 'Star Trek,' 'Hangman,' and 'Chess'.")

Among those who take Zap's advice seriously: Lindsay L. Baird Jr., a tough ex-military policeman now in computer security consulting, and Robert P. Cambell, once a top Army man in computer security and now head of Advanced Information Management, Inc., Woodbridge, Va.

"I had doubts at first," concedes Baird. Zap read of Baird in the Philadelphia Inquirer last year, requested a meeting, walked through Baird's house in Mountain Lakes, N.J., and declared "You're clean."

"In what way?"

"No hidden recording devices or microphones," replied Zap, and pulling back his coat to reveal a bug-detecting device.

Baird, who believes no in The Redemption of Zap, says he has picked up items off hackers' electronic bulleting boards that revealed in detail how to break into the compters of a leading hospital supply firm, a food company and major banks, among dozens of other victims.

"The FBI knows I'm working with him," says Baird, who shares much of the infomation that Zap uncovers. He praises Zap as "a damn good technician."

"We're both learning from each other," says Cambell, adding that "There is nothing that Ian can do that my staffers can't do better, but he's developed his talents without a formal education."

Captain Zap, who has started his own security firm, Secure Data Systems,

tests clients' computer safeguards before "electronics hobbyists" get around to it, as he did for a major financial firm.

How safe was its computer system? "I can't tell you," says Zap. "I'm under a confidentiality agreement."

Zap, one must remember, is a felon (and as of a few days ago had yet to pay off the \$1,000 fine, pleading tight finances). Other reminders: Federal laws prohibit banks' hiring of felons for work too close to the money; some government agencies may not find use of a felon-consultant to be legally or politically possible, even through a subcontracting agreement. Besides, not every client can monitor the Zaps as well as a security expert.

Despite all this, some experts maintain that people like Zap may be excellent at simplifying techno-gook and warning the nontechnical of some of the more common threats from crooks, malicious or snoopy hackers and other electronic break-in artists. The computer-room crew may rant and rave about the need for good computer security, but that's now match for hearing the facts directly from a computer felon.

Baird plans to use Cheshire Catalyst, a New York hacker who doesn't want his real name used ("I like my privacy") and Zap in the "Tiger Team," military term for electronic devil's advocates to test clients' computer security.

Most problems, says Cheshire Catalyst, are "people problems. People tack passwords onto the corkboard above terminals.

"If I came in to deliver pizza," he declares. "I'd memorize the number on the way in and write it down as I left."

A tall, thin man in his late twenties -- named after the grinning, vanishing cat in "Alice's Adventures in Wonderland" -- Cheshire edits the TAP newsletter (which bills itself "For Informational Purposes Only"). Even though TAP is known as the bible for people trying to break into computer and phone systems, Cheshire claims he himself is clean, except for logging on to systems to look for weaknesses.

Meanwhile, discussion of the term "hacker" seems to be escalating. Cheshire distinguishes between "good" and "bad" hackers, the latter of whom a few buffs call "crackers."

Geoffrey S. Goodfellow -- who testified at congressional hearings on computer crime and is a coauthor of The Hacker's Dictionary: a Guide to the World of Computer Wizards -- confirms that a "hacker" isn't necessarily a "computer criminal."

A "hacker," he says, is merely someone who truly enjoys programming. He stretches his machine's power to the limits, and loves to "hack away" at computer problems.

Not that Goodfellow thinks all hackers are 100 percent honest. He believes that as computer literacy spreads, more will be stealing money as well as computer time.

His advice to hackers' prospective clients: "If they seem reasonable, I advocate putting them on a loose leash. You shouldn't take a holier-than-thou attitude. Unfortunately most people take the authoritarian approach..."



~~~~~

D. N. S.    L O O K U P    F A I L E D

~~~~~

- by Synonymous 1

Ten LibertORians have come and gone. And now we are within a year of the 2016 Presidential election. It can not come soon enough. We thank you, dear reader, for journeying this far with us so far. It's your job to go shape the future for the better.

The Deep Web is a mysterious place, and its anonymity never lets someone know exactly with whom they are socializing. It is also super-fluid; a site can be hosted one day and gone the next.

I don't want to say much because I don't know much. Not only that, I'm graciously thankful to the anonymous onionland user who used his/her own server, time, and space to provide a home for LibertORian. Pastebins are nice, but an actual place where Dear Readers can visit and know the most up-to-date issue is out immediately.

And even if I did know much, out of respect to the provider of the space and his?/her? privacy, I still would not say much. But it may or may not be possible for LibertORian to be updated regularly, and for reasons, I can not host my own server or buy onion domain space.

I've done my best to provide honest analysis of issues important to you, or at least things I consider important I think you would agree are important to the cause of liberty. And one thing I ask is for only one or two of you to offer LibertORian a dedicated home. This/these can be alternate sites in addition to <http://libetxn3ogpn6mb.onion>, where visitors can go if one site temporarily or permanently goes down.

Being generous as to host an onion site for LibertORian naturally does not mean that you agree with the

views expressed in the magazine. It only means that you respect free speech. Of course, the converse is not necessarily true: a decision not to host it does not mean that you do not care about freedom of speech. If meaning that if you care about LibertORian means that you HAVE to host it would mean that LibertORian would be all over the deep web. And then what would the world be like?

AWESOME!

Actually, probably not, because it isn't very libertarian to make someone do something against their will. But I'm getting a little silly here. So much heavy stuff is covered here that every once in a while I have to salt and pepper it with some goofy humor (because that's the only type I'm capable of) on occasion.

That's the story about LibertORian online not being updated in a while. There is no story. Because of the Deep Web's anonymous nature, there isn't a way to know if anything's wrong with the webmaster and I'm not going to disrespect the privacy by prying information (s)he is free to withhold. So I pray that the webmaster is fine and ask you to if you are a prayerful person.

I wonder who exactly reads the eZine at all. Are Moxygen, Vox Populi and Quantum (guest columnists from issues 4, 5, and 7, respectively) still around, reading? Anyone famous? I'm still new to all the deep web stuff, so maybe I have to learn that the community is meant to be anonymous, and I shouldn't get too caught up in the names of the people I meet. It is all a sea and we run into fish, leave and meet new ones. Excuse me if I violated protocol; for me, the learning is still ongoing. ((L))